



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/007,582

12/05/2001

Roy F. Brabson

RSW920010222US1

3561

53792 7590 05/05/2009
DILLON & YUDELL LLP
8911 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY.
SUITE 2110
AUSTIN, TX 78759

EXAMINER

PAN, JOSEPH T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2435

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/05/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte ROY F. BRABSON,
LINWOOD HUGH OVERBY, JR

Application No. 10/007,582
Technology Center 2135

Mailed: May 5, 2009

Before DELORES LOWE, *Review Team Paralegal*
LOWE, *Review Team Paralegal*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on June 13, 2008. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.

On June 4, 2007, appellants filed an Appeal Brief. On page 3, under the heading “Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal” the Appellants stated:

Independent claims 1, 12, and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,370,599 to Anand et al. (hereinafter “Anand”).

In response, an Examiner’s Answer was mailed on October 10, 2007. While the Answer notes that “The appellant[’s] statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct . . .” [page 3], the Examiner’s Answer, the following § 102(e) rejections were made:

Claims 1-20 are rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anand et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,370,599 B1), hereinafter referred to as Anand.

A clarification of the claims to be applied in the rejection is required.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) to give a proper explanation of the grounds of rejection on Appeal; and
- 2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

Application No. 10/007,582

If there are any questions pertaining to this order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

/DAL/

Cc: DILLON & YUDELL, LLP
8911 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY.
SUITE 2110
AUSTIN, TX 78759